arXiv:1410.0134v2 [math.CV] 23 Dec 2014

A Note on the Maximum Number of Zeros of $r(z) - \overline{z}$

Robert Luce, Olivier Sète, Jörg Liesen*

December 24, 2014

Abstract

An important theorem of Khavinson & Neumann (Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134(4), 2006) states that the complex harmonic function $r(z) - \overline{z}$, where r is a rational function of degree $n \geq 2$, has at most $5(n-1)$ zeros. In this note we resolve a slight inaccuracy in their proof and in addition we show that for certain functions of the form $r(z) - \overline{z}$ no more than $5(n-1) - 1$ zeros can occur. Moreover, we show that $r(z) - \overline{z}$ is regular, if it has the maximal number of zeros.

1 Introduction

Let $r = \frac{p}{q}$ be a complex rational function of degree

$$n = \deg(r) := \max\{\deg(p), \deg(q)\}.$$

Here and in the sequel the polynomials p and q are always assumed to be coprime. We then say that the rational harmonic function

$$f(z) := r(z) - \overline{z} \tag{1}$$

is of degree n, too. Such functions have an interesting application in *gravitational microlensing*; see the introductory overview article of Khavinson & Neumann [6]. They also play a role in the matrix theory problem of expressing certain adjoints of diagonalizable matrices as rational functions of the matrix [7].

An important theorem of Khavinson & Neumann [5, Theorem 1] states that a rational harmonic function (1) of degree $n \geq 2$ has at most $5(n-1)$ zeros. In this note we give an alternative proof of their result (the differences to the original proof are discussed in Remark 2.8). Moreover, we show that

*TU Berlin, Institute of Mathematics, MA 4-5, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany ({luce,sete,liesen}@math.tu-berlin.de)

a slightly better bound can be given if one takes into account the individual degrees of the numerator and denominator polynomials. In order to state our main result, we recall that a zero z_0 of f is called *sense-preserving* if $|r'(z_0)| > 1$, *sense-reversing* if $|r'(z_0)| < 1$, and *singular* if $|r'(z_0)| = 1$; see [10].

Theorem 1.1. *A rational harmonic function $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ of degree $n \geq 2$ has at most $3(n-1)$ sense-preserving zeros, and at most $2(n-1)$ sense-reversing or singular zeros. Moreover, if $r = \frac{p}{q}$ with $\deg(p) > \deg(q)$, then f has at most $5(n-1)-1$ zeros.*

The first part of this theorem was already stated in [5, Theorem 1 and Proposition 1] (see also [1, Appendix B], where several extensions to this bound are presented). Our proof in the next section employs similar techniques as the one in [5], but it avoids a subtle inaccuracy in the argument, which we will explain next.

If $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ has no singular zero, then f as well as r are called *regular*. In the proof of the Main Lemma in [5], part (2), it is implicitly assumed that if $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ is regular, then the function

$$F(w) = \frac{1}{r(\frac{1}{w})} - \overline{w}$$

is regular as well. However, this implication is in general not correct. For example, consider the rational harmonic function $f(z) = z + \frac{1}{z} - \overline{z}$. Clearly, 0 is not a zero of f, so that we have

$$f(z) = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; z^2 + 1 = |z|^2,$$

and hence f has (only) the two zeros $\pm\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}$. Since $|r'(\pm\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}})| = 3 > 1$, the function f is regular. Now consider

$$F(w) = \frac{1}{r(\frac{1}{w})} - \overline{w} = \frac{w}{1+w^2} - \overline{w} =: R(w) - \overline{w}. \tag{2}$$

Then $F(0) = 0$, and $|R'(0)| = 1$ shows that 0 is a singular zero of F.

In Section 2 we give a new proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we further show that $r(z) - \overline{z}$ has no singular zeros, if it has the maximal number of zeros as stated in Theorem 1.1.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we need some preliminary results. First note that the function R defined in (2) can be written as

$$R(w) = \overline{T} \circ r \circ T^{-1}(w), \tag{3}$$

where $w = T(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ is a Möbius transformation. More generally, we say that for a rational function $r(z)$ and any given Möbius transformation $T(z)$, a function $R(w)$ of the form (3) is a *co-conjugate* of $r(z)$. Here $\overline{T}(z)$ denotes the Möbius transformation obtained from $T(z)$ by conjugating all the coefficients. Co-conjugates maintain the number and sense of zeros of $r(z) - \overline{z}$, as we show next.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $r(z)$ be rational and of degree $n \geq 1$, and let $T(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ be a Möbius transformation. Then $R(w) = \overline{T} \circ r \circ T^{-1}(w)$ is a rational function of degree n and we have:*

1. *$r(z) = \overline{z}$ if and only if $R(w) = \overline{w}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $w = T(z) \neq \infty$. In that case, if $r(z) = \overline{z}$, we have $|r'(z)| = |R'(w)|$.*
2. *Writing $r = \frac{p}{q}$ with $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k z^k$ and $q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k z^k$, R has the representation*

$$R(w) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} (\overline{a} p_k + \overline{b} q_k)(dw - b)^k (a - cw)^{n-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} (\overline{c} p_k + \overline{d} q_k)(dw - b)^k (a - cw)^{n-k}}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. The degree of R can be seen from the degree formula $\deg(r \circ s) = \deg(r) \deg(s)$ for non-constant rational functions; see [3, p. 32]. The first claim can be seen from the computations

$$r(z) = \overline{z} \Leftrightarrow (\overline{T} \circ r)(z) = \overline{T}(\overline{z}) = \overline{T(z)} \Leftrightarrow R(w) = \overline{w},$$

and

$$R'(w) = \overline{T}'(r(z)) r'(z) (T^{-1})'(w) = \overline{T}'(\overline{z}) r'(z) \tfrac{1}{T'(z)} = \tfrac{\overline{T'(z)}}{T'(z)} r'(z).$$

For the second claim, note that $T^{-1}(w) = \frac{dw-b}{a-cw}$, so that we have

$$r(T^{-1}(w)) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k (dw - b)^k (a - cw)^{n-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k (dw - b)^k (a - cw)^{n-k}},$$

from which we see that $R(w) = \overline{T}(r(T^{-1}(w)))$ has the form (4). □

In our proof of Theorem 1.1 we also need the winding of a complex function along a curve, and indices of zeros and poles of harmonic functions (sometimes called order, or multiplicity). Here we only give the most relevant definitions. A compact summary of these concepts is given in [10, Section 2], see also [2] and [11, p. 29] (where the winding is called "degree").

Let Γ be a rectifiable curve with parametrization $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma$. Let $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function with no zeros on Γ. Let $\arg f(z)$ denote a continuous branch of the argument of f on Γ. The *winding* (or *rotation*) *of $f(z)$ on the curve* Γ is defined as

$$V(f; \Gamma) := \tfrac{1}{2\pi} (\arg f(\gamma(b)) - \arg f(\gamma(a)))$$

The winding is independent of the choice of the branch of $\arg f(z)$. Let z_0 be a zero or pole of $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$. Denote by Γ a circle around z_0 not containing any further zeros or poles of f. Then the *Poincaré index* of f at z_0 is defined as

$$\operatorname{ind}(z_0; f) := V(f; \Gamma).$$

The Poincaré index is independent of the choice of Γ.

Moreover, we will use the following results in our proof.

Proposition 2.2 ([10, Proposition 2.7])**.** *Let $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ be a rational harmonic function with $\deg(r) \geq 2$. The indices of f at z_0 can be summarized as follows:*

1. *If z_0 is a sense-preserving zero of f, then $\operatorname{ind}(z_0; f) = 1$.*
2. *If z_0 is a sense-reversing zero of f, then $\operatorname{ind}(z_0; f) = -1$.*
3. *If z_0 is a pole of r of order m, then $\operatorname{ind}(z_0; f) = -m$.*

Proposition 2.3 ([5, Proposition 1])**.** *A rational harmonic function $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ of degree $n \geq 2$ has at most $2(n-1)$ sense-reversing or singular zeros.*

Lemma 2.4 ([5, Lemma])**.** *If r is rational and of degree at least 2, then the set of complex numbers c for which $r - c$ is regular, is open and dense in $\mathbb{C}$.*

A useful application of the preceeding "density lemma" emerges when combined with the continuity of the non-singular zeros of harmonic functions. In the following we call f sense-preserving on an open subset U, if $|r'(z)| > 1$ for all $z \in U$ (similarly for sense-reversing).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ with $\deg(r) \geq 2$. Then for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such such that for $|c| < \delta$ holds: For every sense-preserving zero z_0 of f, the perturbed function $f - c$ has exactly one zero z_0' in $\{z : |z - z_0| < \varepsilon\}$, which is again sense-preserving. The same applies to sense-reversing zeros.*

In particular the function $f - c$ has at least as many sense-preserving (and sense-reversing) zeros as f.

Proof. Let $\Omega_+ = \{z : |r'(z)| > 1\}$ be the set where f is sense-preserving. Denote the sense-preserving zeros of f by $z_1, \ldots, z_{n_+}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small such that

1. all disks $\{z : |z - z_j| \leq \varepsilon\}$ are mutually disjoint and contained in Ω_+,
2. f has no zero or pole in each $\{z : 0 < |z - z_j| \leq \varepsilon\}$. (This is possible, since the zeros and poles of f are isolated.)

Fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_+\}$. Set $\gamma_j = \{z : |z - z_j| = \varepsilon\}$ and let $\delta_j = \min_{z \in \gamma_j} |f(z)| > 0$. Then, for any $|c| < \delta_j$ we have

$$|f - (f - c)| = |c| < \delta_j \leq |f| + |f - c| \quad \text{on } \gamma_j.$$

Rouché's theorem shows $V(f-c;\gamma_j) = V(f;\gamma_j) = +1$, so $f-c$ (again sense-preserving on Ω_+) has exactly one sense-preserving zero interior to γ_j (Proposition 2.2 combined with the argument principle [4]). The same applies to sense-reversing zeros (by considering the set $\Omega_- = \{z : |r'(z)| < 1\}$). Taking δ as the minimum of all δ_j completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us denote

$$r = \tfrac{p}{q}, \quad p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k z^k, \quad q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k z^k,$$

and let n_+, n_0, n_- be the number of sense-preserving, singular, sense-reversing zeros of f, respectively. Sometimes we make the dependence on f explicit by writing $n_+(f)$ etc.

By Proposition 2.3, $n_{-,0} := n_- + n_0 \le 2(n-1)$. It therefore remains to show that $n_+ \le 3(n-1)$ and to show that f has at most $5(n-1)-1$ zeros when $\deg(p) > \deg(q)$. We divide the proof in four steps.

Step 1: Let r be regular with $\deg(p) \le \deg(q) = n$, so the number of singular zeros is $n_0 = 0$. Let γ be a circle containing all zeros and poles of f. In this case, since r is bounded for $z \to \infty$, we have

$$|\overline{z} - f(z)| = |r(z)| \le C < |\overline{z}| + |f(z)|, \quad z \in \gamma,$$

provided that γ is sufficiently large. Rouché's theorem [10, Theorem 2.3] implies $V(f;\gamma) = V(\overline{z};\gamma) = -1$. Applying the argument principle for complex-valued harmonic functions yields

$$-1 = V(f;\gamma) = \sum_{z_j : f(z_j)=0} \operatorname{ind}(z_j; f) + \sum_{z_j : q(z_j)=0} \operatorname{ind}(z_j; f) = n_+ - n_- - n,$$

where we used Proposition 2.2. In particular, the sum of the orders of the poles of f is equal to $\deg(q) = n$. By Proposition 2.3 we have $n_- \le 2(n-1)$. Thus,

$$n_+ = n - 1 + n_- \le n - 1 + 2(n-1) = 3(n-1).$$

Step 2: Let $\deg(p) \le \deg(q) = n$. If r is regular, we are done by Step 1, so assume that r is not regular. By Lemma 2.4 there exists a sequence $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $r_k(z) := r(z) - c_k$ are regular and $c_k \to 0$. Then r_k satisfies the conditions of Step 1 and, setting $f_k(z) := r_k(z) - \overline{z}$, we have $n_+(f_k) \le 3(n-1)$ by Step 1. For sufficiently small $|c_k|$, Lemma 2.5 shows that the function $f_k = f - c_k$ has at least as many sense-preserving zeros as f, that is, $n_+(f) \le n_+(f_k) \le 3(n-1)$.

Step 3: Let $n = \deg(p) > \deg(q)$ and $p(0) \ne 0$. In this case we have $p_n \ne 0$, $p_0 \ne 0$ and $q_n = 0$. Let $w = T(z) = \frac{1}{z}$, then

$$R(w) = \overline{T} \circ r \circ T^{-1}(w) = \tfrac{1}{r(\frac{1}{w})} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k w^{n-k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k w^{n-k}},$$

which can be seen from (4). Since $p_0 \neq 0$, we see that $F(w) = R(w) - \overline{w}$ satisfies the conditions in Step 2. Thus, $n_+(F) \leq 3(n-1)$ and $n_{-,0}(F) \leq 2(n-1)$.

Since $f(0) = \frac{p(0)}{q(0)} \neq 0$, every zero z_j of f gives rise to a zero $w_j = T(z_j)$ of F, and every zero $0 \neq w_j$ of F corresponds to a zero $z_j = \frac{1}{w_j}$ of f; see Proposition 2.1. Since the senses of the zeros are preserved under the co-conjugation with T, we find

$$n_+(f) \leq n_+(F) \leq 3(n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad n_{-,0}(f) \leq n_{-,0}(F) \leq 2(n-1).$$

Notice that $F(0) = 0$, since $q_n = 0$. This zero of F has no corresponding zero of f, so that f has at most $5(n-1)-1$ zeros.

Step 4: Let $n = \deg(p) > \deg(q)$ and $p(0) = 0$. In that case we have $p_n \neq 0$, $q_n = 0$ and $p_0 = 0$. Let $b \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $r(b) \neq \overline{b}$. With the Möbius transformation $T(z) = z - b$ we consider

$$R(w) = \overline{T} \circ r \circ T^{-1}(w) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}(p_k + -\overline{b}q_k)(w+b)^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k(w+b)^k};$$

see Proposition 2.1. The coefficient of w^n in the numerator of R is $p_n - \overline{b}q_n = p_n \neq 0$, and in the denominator it is $q_n = 0$. Further, the constant term of the numerator of R is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}(p_k - \overline{b}q_k)b^k = p(b) - \overline{b}q(b) \neq 0,$$

since $r(b) \neq \overline{b}$. Thus $F(w) := R(w) - \overline{w}$ satisfies the conditions in Step 3, so that

$$n_{-,0}(F) \leq 2(n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad n_+(F) \leq 3(n-1),$$

and F has at most $5(n-1)-1$ zeros.

Proposition 2.1 implies that $r(z) = \overline{z}$ if and only if $R(w) = \overline{w}$, where $w = T(z)$. Thus f and F have the same number of zeros, and all corresponding zeros have the same sense (or are singular). Hence $n_{-,0}(f) = n_{-,0}(F) \leq 2(n-1)$ and $n_+(f) = n_+(F) \leq 3(n-1)$, and the total number of zeros of f is bounded by $5(n-1)-1$. □

Remark 2.6. Let $f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} - \overline{z}$ with $\deg(p) > \deg(q)$, so that f has at most $5(n-1)-1$ zeros. Then the point ∞ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ can be regarded as the "missing solution" to $r(z) = \overline{z}$. However, the point infinity can *not* be a zero of the function $r(z) - \overline{z}$, see [5, p. 1078].

Remark 2.7. In Step 3 in the above proof, one can infer the type of the zero $w = 0$ of F. In this step $p_n \neq 0$ and $q_n = 0$. We compute

$$R'(w) = \frac{-r'(\frac{1}{w})\frac{-1}{w^2}}{r(\frac{1}{w})^2} = r'(z)\frac{z^2}{r(z)^2} = \frac{(p'(z)q(z) - p(z)q'(z))z^2}{p(z)^2}.$$

Note that z^{2n} is the highest power of z that may occur in both numerator and denominator. The coefficient of z^{2n} in the denominator is p_n^2, and in the numerator it is

$$np_nq_{n-1} - p_nq_{n-1}(n-1) = p_nq_{n-1},$$

which yields

$$R'(0) = \lim_{w\to 0} R'(w) = \lim_{z\to\infty} \tfrac{(p'(z)q(z)-p(z)q'(z))z^2}{p(z)^2} = \tfrac{q_{n-1}}{p_n}.$$

This shows that $w = 0$ may be a sense-preserving, sense-reversing or singular zero of F.

Remark 2.8. Let us briefly discuss how our proof of Theorem 1.1 differs from the original proof of Khavinson & Neumann in [5]. A major ingredient in both proofs is Proposition 2.3, due to Khavinson & Neumann, which bounds the number of sense-reversing and singular zeros. Because of this result it only remains to bound the number of sense-preserving zeros. Here the two main technical challenges are (i) dealing with singular zeros, and (ii) the slightly different behavior of rational functions $f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} - \overline{z}$ with $\deg(p) \le \deg(q)$ and $\deg(p) > \deg(q)$. The main difference between the two proofs is the order in which (i) and (ii) are handled. While Khavinson & Neumann first resolve (ii) under the assumption that all zeros are regular, and then apply the density lemma (Lemma 2.4) to resolve (i), our proof first treats the case $\deg(p) \le \deg(q)$, using the density lemma (steps 1 and 2), and then transfers the result to the other case using Proposition 2.1 (steps 3 and 4). By this order we avoid a transformation of variables which may introduce singular zeros at a stage of the proof where this case is not covered.

The bounds in Theorem 1.1 are sharp. If $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ of degree $n \ge 2$ attains the maximal number of $5(n-1)$ zeros, we call f and r *extremal*. Examples of extremal functions were constructed by Rhie [9]. She considered the function

$$f(z) = \tfrac{z^{n-1}}{z^n-a^n} - \overline{z} \tag{5}$$

which is extremal for degree $n = 2, 3$ for a special value of $a \in (0, 1)$, and the function

$$f(z) = (1-\varepsilon)\tfrac{z^{n-1}}{z^n-a^n} + \tfrac{\varepsilon}{z} - \overline{z} = \tfrac{z^n-\varepsilon a^n}{z^{n+1}-a^n z}, \tag{6}$$

of degree $n+1$ which is extremal for $n \ge 3$, provided that ε is sufficiently small. See [8] for a rigorous analysis of admissible parameters a and ε such that these functions are indeed extremal. Note that the rational function in (6) is a convex combination of the rational function in (5) and a pole located at a zero of (5). This general construction principle for extremal functions has been studied in detail in [10].

A phase portrait (see [13, 12] and [10, Section 4]) of an extremal function of the form (6) with $n = 4$, and $\varepsilon = 0.04$ is shown in Figure 1 (left).



Figure 1: Phase portrais of (6) (left), and of (7) (right). Each image shows a part of the domain of the corresponding function. By indentifying the unit circle with the standard HSV color wheel, each point in the domain is colored according to the phase $e^{i \arg f(z)}$ of the function value at that point (see [12]). The brightened regions indicate the parts of the domain where the function is sense-preserving. Black disks denote zeros, white squares poles. Both functions are of degree five, and have 20 and 19 zeros, respectively, which is the maximum possible number in each case.

We show that for rational functions with $\deg(p) > \deg(q)$ the new bound from Theorem 1.1 is also sharp. Let r be the any of the extremal rational functions from (5) and (6). Let z_0 be any zero of $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ and consider the co-conjugate of r with $w = T(z) = \frac{1}{z - z_0}$:

$$R(w) = \overline{T} \circ r \circ T^{-1}(w) = \frac{1}{r(\frac{1}{w} + z_0) - \overline{z}_0}.$$

From Proposition 2.1 it is easy to see that the numerator of R has degree $\deg(r)$ and the denominator has degree (at most) $\deg(r) - 1$. Further the zeros of

$$F(w) = R(w) - \overline{w} \tag{7}$$

are exactly the images of the zeros ($\neq z_0$) of $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$, so that F has $5(\deg(R) - 1) - 1$ zeros. Figure 1 (right) illustrates this construction for $n = 4$, where z_0 is the rightmost zero of f in the left phase portrait.

3 Extremal Rational Harmonic Functions are Regular

In this section we will show that functions $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$ that attain the maximum number of zeros as stated in Theorem 1.1 are, surprisingly, guaranteed to be regular.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $r = \frac{p}{q}$ be a rational function of degree $n \geq 2$ and set $f(z) = r(z) - \overline{z}$. If*
(i) f has $5(n-1)$ zeros, or
(ii) $\deg(p) > \deg(q)$ and f has $5(n-1) - 1$ zeros,
then none of the zeros are singular.

Proof. (i) Let $\Omega_+ := \{z : |r'(z)| > 1\}$ be the set where f is sense-preserving. Denote by n_+ the number of zeros of f in Ω_+ and by $n_{-,0}$ the number of zeros of f in $\{z : |r'(z)| \leq 1\}$. Since f has $5(n-1)$ zeros, Theorem 1.1 implies

$$n_+ = 3(n-1), \quad n_{-,0} = 2(n-1).$$

Suppose f has a singular zero z_0. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_{n_+}$ be the $n_+ = 3(n-1)$ zeros of f in Ω_+. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that the disks $\{z : |z - z_j| \leq \varepsilon\}$ do not intersect for $0 \leq j \leq n_+$, and are contained in Ω_+ for $1 \leq j \leq n_+$. By Lemma 2.5 applied to f on Ω_+ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $|c| < \delta$ the function $f - c$ has exactly one zero in each ε-disk $D_\varepsilon(z_j) = \{z : |z - z_j| < \varepsilon\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n_+$.

Now, since $f(z_0) = 0$ and f is continuous near z_0, there exists $0 < \eta \leq \varepsilon$ such that $|f(z)| < \delta$ in $D_\eta(z_0) = \{z : |z - z_0| < \eta\}$. Further, there exists $\zeta \in D_\eta(z_0) \cap \Omega_+$. Indeed, assume the contrary, then $|r'(z)| \leq 1$ in $D_\eta(z_0)$

and $|r'(z_0)| = 1$, which implies that r' is constant by the maximum modulus theorem, a contradiction to $\deg(r) \geq 2$.

Finally, consider the function $F(z) := f(z) - f(\zeta)$. Since $|f(\zeta)| < \delta$, F has exactly one zero in each disk $D_\varepsilon(z_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n_+$, and further $F(\zeta) = 0$. Thus F has $n_+ + 1 = 3(n-1) + 1$ distinct sense-preserving zeros in Ω_+, in contradiction to Theorem 1.1. Therefore f has no singular zeros.

(ii) We reduce this case to the previous one. Let $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $r(b) \neq \overline{b}$, and define the Möbius transformation $w = T(z) = \frac{1}{z-b}$. Consider the coconjugate $R = \overline{T} \circ r \circ T^{-1}$ and $F(w) = R(w) - \overline{w}$. By Proposition 2.1, all $5(n-1) - 1$ zeros of f transform to zeros of F with $|r'(z)| = |R'(w)|$, so that the senses are preserved. Note that none of the zeros of f is mapped to 0 under T. However, (4) and $n = \deg(p) > \deg(q)$ imply $R(0) = \frac{q_n}{p_n} = 0$, so that we have $F(0) = 0$. Thus F has a total number of $5(n-1)$ zeros, none of which is singular by the first part. Hence none of the zeros of f are singular. □

Ackowledgements We would like to thank Dmitry Khavinson for pointing out reference [1] to us. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for the careful reading of the manuscript, and many valuable remarks.

Robert Luce's work is supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Cluster of Excellence "UniCat".

References

[1] Jin H. An and N. Wyn Evans. The Chang-Refsdal lens revisited. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 369(1):317–334, 2006.

[2] Mark Benevich Balk. *Polyanalytic Functions.* Mathematical Research. 63. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag. 197 p. , 1991.

[3] Alan F. Beardon. *Iteration of rational functions*, volume 132 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. Complex analytic dynamical systems.

[4] Peter Duren, Walter Hengartner, and Richard S. Laugesen. The argument principle for harmonic functions. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 103(5):411–415, 1996.

[5] Dmitry Khavinson and Genevra Neumann. On the number of zeros of certain rational harmonic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(4):1077–1085 (electronic), 2006.

[6] Dmitry Khavinson and Genevra Neumann. From the fundamental theorem of algebra to astrophysics: a "harmonious" path. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 55(6):666–675, 2008.

[7] Jörg Liesen. When is the adjoint of a matrix a low degree rational function in the matrix? *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 29(4):1171–1180, 2007.

[8] Robert Luce, Olivier Sète, and Jörg Liesen. Sharp parameter bounds for certain maximal point lenses. *Gen. Relativity Gravitation*, 46(5):46:1736, 2014.

[9] S. H. Rhie. n-point Gravitational Lenses with 5(n-1) Images. *ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints*, May 2003.

[10] Olivier Sète, Robert Luce, and Jörg Liesen. Perturbing rational harmonic functions by poles. *Computational Methods and Function Theory*, pages 1–27, 2014.

[11] T. Sheil-Small. *Complex polynomials*, volume 75 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[12] Elias Wegert. *Visual complex functions*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012. An introduction with phase portraits.

[13] Elias Wegert and Gunter Semmler. Phase plots of complex functions: a journey in illustration. *Notices Am. Math. Soc.*, 58(6):768–780, 2011.